

Arts Learning Project Grant Ranking Tool

<i>Review Criterion as appropriate to size and scope of project</i>	Unsatisfactory 1	Needs Development 2	Accomplishing 3	Excelling 4
Artistic quality of project design demonstrates: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with the project objectives Engagement of artist/consultant in the project development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No alignment with project objectives No indication of artist/consultant engagement in project development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited alignment with project objectives Minimal indication of artist/consultant engagement in project development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient alignment with project objectives Clear indication of artist/consultant engagement in project development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive alignment with project objectives Exemplary indication of artist/consultant engagement in project development
Articulation of participant learning demonstrates: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Development of project outcomes and learning objectives to impact participant learning Appropriate activities developed to achieve desired learning goals Participant engagement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes and objectives unclear; no relation to participant learning Activities are unclear; no relation to learning goals Participant engagement is unclear or unfeasible 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes and objectives need development; minimal relation to participant learning Activities need development; minimal relation to learning goals Participant engagement is clear but needs development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear outcomes and objectives; related to participant learning Activities are clearly developed; sufficient relation to learning goals Participant engagement is developed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exemplary outcomes and objectives; directly in support of participant learning Exemplary development of activities; in direct relation to learning goals Participant engagement is exemplary
Learning assessment and program evaluation demonstrates: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Assessment in alignment with learning objectives Evaluation strategies in relation to the project goals and outcomes Capacity to conduct and share results with participants and broader audience Alignment with state arts standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No alignment with learning objectives Unclear evaluation strategies; no relation to project goals and outcomes No indication of capacity to conduct and share results of assessment/evaluation No alignment with state arts standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited alignment with learning objectives Limited evaluation strategies; vague relation project goals and outcomes Minimal indication of capacity to conduct and share results of assessment/evaluation Limited alignment with state arts standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient alignment with learning objectives Developed evaluation strategies; clear relation to project goals and outcomes Clear indication of capacity to conduct and share results of assessment/evaluation Sufficient alignment with state arts standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive alignment with learning objectives Concrete evaluation strategies; directly linked to project goals and outcomes Exemplary indication of capacity to conduct and share results of assessment/evaluation Comprehensive alignment with state arts standards

Arts Learning Project Grant Ranking Tool

<i>Review Criterion as appropriate to size and scope of project</i>	Unsatisfactory 1	Needs Development 2	Accomplishing 3	Excelling 4
Community engagement demonstrates: 1. Engagement of broader community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No engagement of broader community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal engagement of broader community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient engagement of broader community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Active engagement of broader community
<i>Review Criterion as appropriate to size and scope of project</i>	Unsatisfactory 1	Needs Development 2	Accomplishing 3	Excelling 4
Appropriateness of budget: 1. Alignment of project costs with overall project (<i>objectives, outcomes, activities</i>)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No alignment of project costs to overall project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited alignment of project costs to overall project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient alignment of project costs to overall project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive alignment of project costs to overall project